

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/926,460	01/28/2002	Oliver Richter	RICH30001/JEK	RICH30001/JEK 4672	
23364	7590 07/08/2005		EXAMINER		
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M		
FOURTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2683		
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/200	DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/926,460	RICHTER, OLIVER		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Stephen M. D'Agosta	2683		

	Stephen W. D Agosta	2003						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
following time periods:	nance with or early in the repl	y made be med within						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month partner term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	-	•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling								
the non-allowable claim(s).	·	·	_					
7 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected to:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		,						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:			OSTA					
		PRIMARY EXT	ypuen					
		. , , , , , , , , , , , ,						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The primary examiner believes that claims 1, 3 and 5-8 are in condition for allowance since the applicant has amended per the direction of the examiner (ref. Interview Summary). However, the applicant chose to amend claim 9 as well and this amendment was not pointed out as being allowable by the examiner (again, see the Interview Summary). Since claim 9 is much broader than claim 1, the examiner will require further searching if this claim is to be retained. The applicant has the option of cancelling this claim, which may provide a more favorable outcome.